

## Comment on 'Time-like flows of energy momentum and particle trajectories for the Klein–Gordon equation'

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 7961

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/37/401>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.109

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:31

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## COMMENT

## Comment on ‘Time-like flows of energy momentum and particle trajectories for the Klein–Gordon equation’

Roderich Tumulka

Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstrasse 39, D-80333 München, Germany

E-mail: tumulka@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de

Received 6 March 2002, in final form 27 May 2002

Published 4 September 2002

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/7961](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/7961)

### Abstract

Horton *et al* (2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 7337) have proposed Bohm-type particle trajectories accompanying a Klein–Gordon wavefunction  $\psi$  on Minkowski space. From two vector fields on space–time,  $W^+$  and  $W^-$ , defined in terms of  $\psi$ , they intend to construct a time-like vector field  $W$ , the integral curves of which are the possible trajectories, by the following rule: at every space–time point, take either  $W = W^+$  or  $W = W^-$  depending on which is time-like. This procedure, however, is ill-defined as soon as both are time-like, or both space-like. Indeed, they cannot both be time-like, but they can well both be space-like, contrary to the central claim of Horton *et al*. We point out the gap in their proof, we provide a counter example, and we argue that, even for a rather arbitrary wavefunction, the points where both  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are space-like can form a set of positive measure.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Pm, 03.65.Ta

Let  $\psi = e^{P+iS}$  (where  $P$  and  $S$  are real) solve the Klein–Gordon equation,  $-\square\psi = m^2\psi$ . Set  $P_\mu = \partial_\mu P$ ,  $S_\mu = \partial_\mu S$ , and

$$\theta = \sinh^{-1} \frac{P^\mu P_\mu - S^\mu S_\mu}{2P^\mu S_\mu}.$$

The case that  $P_\mu$  and  $S_\mu$  are orthogonal is an exceptional one that we neglect, just as Horton *et al* [1]. For  $W_\mu$ , one is supposed to take either  $W_\mu^+ = e^\theta P_\mu + S_\mu$  or  $W_\mu^- = -e^{-\theta} P_\mu + S_\mu$ , depending on which is time-like; they cannot both be time-like since they are orthogonal. The question is, could they both be space-like?

Horton *et al* [1] declare that  $W_\mu^+$  and  $W_\mu^-$  cannot both be space-like and argue that otherwise there exists a Lorentz frame such that  $W_0^+ = 0$  and  $W_0^- = 0$ , thus  $e^\theta P_0 = -e^{-\theta} P_0$ . From this, they conclude  $e^\theta = -e^{-\theta}$ , which is impossible.

It is correct that any two orthogonal space-like vectors span a space-like two-plane (corresponding to  $x^0 = 0$  in the appropriate Lorentz frame), but no contradiction arises since in this case  $P_0$  would be zero (in this frame). This is the mistake in the proof.

Together with  $W_0^+ = 0$  (or  $W_0^- = 0$ ),  $P_0 = 0$  implies  $S_0 = 0$ . Hence, for  $W_\mu^+$  and  $W_\mu^-$  to be space-like it is necessary and sufficient that  $P_\mu$  and  $S_\mu$  span a space-like two-plane.

Can this case occur? Clearly, since the Klein–Gordon equation is of second order, one may choose  $\psi$  and  $\partial_0\psi$  *ad libitum* on the  $x^0 = 0$  hyperplane. Can it also occur for the first-order Klein–Gordon equation  $-i\partial_0\psi = \sqrt{m^2 - \Delta}\psi$ , or, equivalently, for functions from the positive-energy subspace? Here is an example. Let  $\psi$  be a superposition of three<sup>1</sup> plane waves

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i e^{ik_\mu^{(i)}x^\mu}$$

with wave vectors  $k_\mu^{(1)} = (m, 0, 0, 0)$ ,  $k_\mu^{(2)} = (\sqrt{27}m, \sqrt{26}m, 0, 0)$ ,  $k_\mu^{(3)} = (\sqrt{27}m, 0, \sqrt{26}m, 0)$ , and  $c_1 = 3$ ,  $c_2 = -1/\sqrt{3} - i$ ,  $c_3 = i$ . Then, at the coordinate origin, we find  $P_\mu = (0, \alpha, -\alpha, 0)$  and  $S_\mu = (0, -\beta, 0, 0)$  with  $\alpha = \sqrt{26}m/\gamma$ ,  $\beta = \alpha/\sqrt{3}$  and  $\gamma = 3 - 1/\sqrt{3}$ . This example could also be made square-integrable by replacing the plane waves  $\exp(ik_\mu^{(i)}x^\mu)$  by positive-energy  $L^2$  Klein–Gordon functions  $\varphi^{(i)}(x)$  with the properties  $\varphi^{(i)}(0) = 1$  and  $\partial_\mu\varphi^{(i)}(0) = ik_\mu^{(i)}$ .

One may suspect, however, that perhaps this particular wavefunction  $\psi$  is very exceptional, and perhaps even that, for this special wavefunction, the coordinate origin is a rather atypical point, so that the sort of situation just described can be ignored. After all, we would be willing to ignore the case where  $P_\mu$  and  $S_\mu$  are orthogonal because in the eight-dimensional space of all possible pairs of vectors  $P_\mu, S_\mu$  it corresponds to a subset of dimension seven, and therefore one would expect that the space–time points where this occurs form a set of measure zero.

But since  $W_\mu^+ W^{+\mu}$  and  $W_\mu^- W^{-\mu}$  are continuous functions of  $P_\mu$  and  $S_\mu$ , the set of pairs  $P_\mu, S_\mu$  where both  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  are space-like is open (and nonempty) and thus has positive measure in eight dimensions. I know of nothing precluding any pairs  $P_\mu, S_\mu$  arising from a Klein–Gordon wavefunction, so it seems reasonable to expect that the space–time points with space-like  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  form a set of positive measure for many wavefunctions, perhaps for most.

## Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Sheldon Goldstein for improvements and simplifications of the arguments.

## References

- [1] Horton G, Dewdney C and Nesteruk A 2000 Time-like flows of energy momentum and particle trajectories for the Klein–Gordon equation *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 7337 (Preprint quant-ph/0103114)

<sup>1</sup> Two will not suffice for an example since  $P_\mu$  and  $S_\mu$  are linear combinations of the  $k_\mu$  vectors.